PATENT COOPERATION TREAT



From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

50i

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis, I(c))

То:

SANDERS, Deirdre, E. Hamilton, Brook, Smith & Reynolds, P.C. 530 Virginia Road P.O. Box 9133 Concord, MA 01742-9133 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

09 February 2006 (09.02.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 3518.1015002

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/US2004/024725

International filing date (day/month/year) 30 July 2004 (30.07.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)
30 July 2003 (30.07.2003)

Applicant

DEPUY SPINE, INC. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)



HAMILTON, BROOK SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 71 30

PATENT COOPERATION TREAT.

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 3518.1015002	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/US2004/024725	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 July 2004 (30.07.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30 July 2003 (30.07.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant DEPUY SPINE, INC.					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 15 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).						
	·						
			Date of issuance of this report 30 January 2006 (30.01.2006)				
	The International Bur 34, chemin des Co		Authorized officer				

Simin Baharlou

Telephone No. +41 22 338 71 30

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 0 8 JUN 2005

WIPO

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/US2004/024725 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K31/436, A61K38/30, A61K31/198, A61K39/395, A61P19/02	PCT					
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. PCT/US2004/024725 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K31/436, A61K38/30, A61K31/198, A61K39/395, A61P19/02	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/US2004/024725 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K31/436, A61K38/30, A61K31/198, A61K39/395, A61P19/02	T Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)					
See form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. PCT/US2004/024725 International Filing date (day/month/year) 30.07.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K31/436, A61K38/30, A61K31/198, A61K39/395, A61P19/02	•					
PCT/US2004/024725 30.07.2004 3 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K31/436, A61K38/30, A61K31/198, A61K39/395, A61P19/02	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
A61K31/436, A61K38/30, A61K31/198, A61K39/395, A61P19/02	riority date <i>(day/month/year)</i> 0.07.2003					
A P						
Applicant DEPUY SPINE, INC.						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. □ Basis of the opinion □ Box No. □ Priority □ Box No. □ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. □ Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. □ Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. □ Certain documents cited □ Box No. □ Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. □ Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo ni Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

A. Jakobs

Authorized Officer

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2617



	Вох	No. I	Basis of the opinion				
٦.	 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 						
		langua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following to get which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. typ	oe of n	naterial:				
		l as	equence listing				
		l tabl	le(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material:							
		l in w	vritten format				
		l in c	computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing:							
		con	tained in the international application as filed.				
	· 🗆] file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		l furr	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	i (has be copies	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto sen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished.				
4	4 Additional comments:						

	Ro	(No. II	Priority
	<u> </u>	110.11	Priority
1.		The foll	owing document has not been furnished:
			copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consect neverth	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has eless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has bee	inion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Ø	a copy - Searchi	ernational Searching Authority has not been able to consider the validity of the priority claim because of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed was not available to the International ing Authority at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless stablished on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4.	Ado	litional o	bservations, if necessary:

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,			
\boxtimes	l claims Nos. 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 (partially) 12-29,31-33,76-79,83			
because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 with respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	see separate sheet			
	the description, claims or drawings <i>(indicate particular elements below)</i> or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
Ø	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 (partially) 12-29,31-33,76-79,83			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
			and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
	See separate sheet for further details			

	Во	x No. IV	Lack of unity of in	vention				
1.		☐ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:						
			paid additional fees.					
			paid additional fees u	nder pr	otest.			
		\boxtimes	not paid additional fee	es.				
2.		This Au	uthority found that the olicant to pay additions	requirer Il fees.	ment of un	ity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite		
3.	Thi	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is						
		□ complied with						
	\boxtimes	☐ not complied with for the following reasons:						
		see separate sheet						
4.	Со	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:						
	☐ all parts.							
		the part	s relating to claims No	s. 1-4,3	0,34-66,80	1-82 (partially) 5-11,67-75		
		x No. V Iustrial	Reasoned stateme	ent und s and e	er Rule 43 explanatio	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement		
1.	Sta	tement						
	No	velty (N)	,	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	- 1-11,30,34-75,80-82		
	lnv	entive s	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-11,30,34-75,80-82		
	Ind	iustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	see separate sheet		
2.	Cit	ations a	nd explanations					
	see	e separa	ate sheet					

International application No.

PCT/US2004/024725

Re Item III.

Claims 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Present claim 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 relate to a method defined by reference to the following parameters:

an inhibitor of a pro-inflammatory interleukin, an inhibitor of a pro-inflammatory interleukin wherein the interleukin is IL-1, IL-1beta, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, IL-19.

The use of these parameters in the present context is considered to lead to a lack of clarity within the meaning of Article 6 PCT. It is impossible to compare the parameters the applicant has chosen to employ with what is set out in the prior art. The lack of clarity is such as to render a meaningful complete search impossible. Consequently, the search has been restricted to the use of the inhibitors specifically mentioned in the description on page 19, lines 20-24, i.e. Kineret, IL1-Receptor Type 2 and IL-1 Trap.

No Written Opinion will be formulated with respect to subject matter which is not covered by the search report.

Re Item IV.

The separate inventions/groups of inventions are:

- Claims 1-4,30,34-66,80-82 (partially) 5-11,67-75
 Use of an inhibitor of a pro-inflammatory interleukin for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 2. Claims 1-4,30,34-66,80-82 (partially) 12-15,76-79,83

 Use of an inhibitor of TNF-alpha synthesis, an inhibitor of membrane-bound TNF-alpha or an inhibitor of a natural receptor of TNF-alpha for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.

- 3. Claims 1-4,30,34-65,80-82 (partially) 19-21
 Use of an inhibitor of NO synthase for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- Claims 1-4,30,34-65,80-82 (partially) 22
 Use of an inhibitor of PLA2 enzyme for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 5. Claims 1-4,30,34-65,80-82 (partially) 23-27
 Use of an inhibitor of an anti-proliferative agent for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 6. Claims 1-4,30,34-65,80-82 (partially) 28
 Use of an anti-oxidant for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 7. Claims 1-4,30,34-65,80-82 (partially) 31-33
 Use of an apoptosis inhibitor for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 8. Claims 1-4,30,34-65,80-82 (partially) 29
 Use of an inhibitor of MMP for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 9. Claims 1-4,16,17,30,34-65,80-82 (partially)
 Use of an inhibitor of p38 kinase wherein the compound is a diaryl imidazole for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 10. Claims 1-4,16,17,30,34-65,80-82 (partially) Use of an inhibitor of p38 kinase wherein the compound is a diaryl N,N' diaryl urea or a N,N-diarylurea for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 11. Claims 1-4,16,17,30,34-65,80-82 (partially)

Use of an inhibitor of p38 kinase wherein the compound is a benzophenone for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.

- 12. Claims 1-4,16,17,30,34-65,80-82 (partially)

 Use of an inhibitor of p38 kinase wherein the compound is a pyrazole ketone for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 13. Claims 1-4,16,17,30,34-65,80-82 (partially)
 Use of an inhibitor of p38 kinase wherein the compound is a indole amide for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 14. Claims 1-4,16,17,30,34-65,80-82 (partially)
 Use of an inhibitor of p38 kinase wherein the compound is a diamide for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 15. Claims 1-4,16,17,30,34-65,80-82 (partially)
 Use of an inhibitor of p38 kinase wherein the compound is a quinazoline for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 16. Claims 1-4,16,17,30,34-65,80-82 (partially)
 Use of an inhibitor of p38 kinase wherein the compound is a pyrimido[4,5-d]pyrimidinone for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 17. Claims 1-4,16,17,30,34-65,80-82 (partially)
 Use of an inhibitor of p38 kinase wherein the compound is a pyridylamino-quinazoline for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.
- 18. Claims 1-4,30,34-65,80-82 (partially) 18
 Use of an inhibitor of a 1-aryl-2-pyridinyl heterocycle as specified in claim 18 for the manufacture of a medicament for treating an inflamed orthopedic joint.

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons:

International application No.

PCT/US2004/024725

The problem to be solved by the present application is to provide for the treatment of inflamed orthopedic joints.

The proposed solution is to use a compound selected from

- i) an inhibitor of a pro-inflammatory interleukin;
- ii) an inhibitor of TNF-alpha synthesis;
- iii) an inhibitor of membrane-bound TNF-alpha,
- iv) an inhibitor of a natural receptor of TNF-alpha,
- v) an inhibitor of NO synthase;
- vi) an inhibitor of PLA2 enzyme;
- vii) an anti-proliferative agent;
- viii) an anti-oxidant,
- ix) an apoptosis inhibitor selected from the group consisting of EPO mimetic peptides, EPO mimetibodies, IGF-I, IGF-II, and caspase inhibitors,
- x) an inhibitor of MMPs,
- xi) an inhibitor of p38 kinase, said inhibitor being a
- a) diaryl imidizole (sic)
- b) N,N'-diaryl urea;
- c) N,N-diaryl urea;
- d) benzophenone;
- e) pyrazole ketone;
- f) indole amide;
- g) diamides;
- h) quinazoline;
- 1) pyrimido[4,5-d]pyrimidinone
- j) pyridylamino-quinazoline.

or

- xii) a 1-aryl-2-pyridinyl heterocycle selected from the group consisting of:
- a) 4,5 substituted imidazole;
- b) 1,4,5 substituted imidizole;
- c) 2,4,5 substituted imidizole;
- d) 1,2,4,5 substituted imidizole; and
- e) non-imidizole 5-membered ring heterocycle.

International application No.

PCT/US2004/024725

Said compounds may be administered trans-capsularly, closely adjacent to the outer wall of the capsule or at a location closely adjacent to an outer wall of the capsule. See claims 1, 47, 60.

US5368841 discloses local i.e. intracapsular injection of drugs for treating inflammatory joint conditions. See the passages cited in the search report.

US2001016195 discloses antagonists of IL-1, IL-6, IL-8 to treat osteoarthritis and other forms of arthritis including rheumatoid arthritis, juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis. Said treatment comprises localized administration, including perilesional or intralesional administration of compounds including interleukin 1 receptor antagonist (IL-1 RA) (Amgen) and interleukin 1 receptor type II (IL-1R type II) (Immunex). See the passages cited in the search report.

WO0185179 discloses dextran based composition for injecting into damaged or diseased joints, filling cavities and spaces in artificial joints, applying to joints in connection with post-surgical procedures and injected into joint injury. See the passages cited in the search report.

EP438234 discloses the intrasynovial administration of antithrombin in relation to the treatment of arthritis. See the passages cited in the search report.

US4427649 discloses compsns. useful for treating rheumatoid inflammations of the synovial joints, since they can be injected directly into the cavity of the joint. See the passages cited in the search report.

US6294170 discloses the intracapsular administration of an inhibitor of IL-1, preferably IL-1ra, either alone or in combnation with another drug for treating inflammatory joint diseases. See the passages cited in the search report.

Furthermore, the compounds of the proposed solutions do not share a significant structural element, nor do they belong to a same recognized class of chemical compounds.

According to Article 3(4)(iii) PCT, an international application shall comply with "the

International application No.

PCT/US2004/024725

prescribed requirement of unity of invention". This means, as explained in Rule 13.1 PCT, that the application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

From the above cited documents, it appears that the use of above specified compounds in relation to the treatment of above specified disorders is known in the prior art and can not fulfil the role of special technical feature (general inventive concept) in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT.

Accordingly there is no new technical effect linking the different groups of inventions.

In the present application no further technical feature can be distinguished that can be regarded as a "special technical feature" involved in the technical relationship among the different inventions.

Consequently the present application lacks unity of invention.

As searching the other inventions would have caused a major additional searching effort, only the first invention was searched.

As the applicant has not had a search report drawn up on the other inventions, this opinion relates only to the invention in respect of which a search report has been carried out, in other words the invention first mentioned in the claims.

Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - D1: WO 97/28828 A (AMGEN BOULDER INC; COLLINS, DAVID, S; BEVILACQUA, MICHAEL, P) 14 August 1997 (1997-08-14)
 - D2: WO 98/24477 A (AMGEN INC; BENDELE, ALISON, M; SENNELLO, REGINA, M) 11 June 1998 (1998-06-11)
 - D3: US-B1-6 294 170 (BOONE THOMAS C ET AL) 25 September 2001 (2001-09-25)

- D4: EP-A-1 133 995 (THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO FOUNDATION, INC; AMGEN INC; SYNERGEN, INC) 19 September 2001 (2001-09-19)
- D5: GABAY C: "IL-1 TRAP" CURRENT OPINION IN INVESTIGATIONAL DRUGS, CURRENT DRUGS, LONDON, GB, vol. 4, no. 5, May 2003 (2003-05), pages 593-597, XP009017868 ISSN: 0967-8298
- D6: DAYER J-M: "THE PIVOTAL ROLE OF INTERLEUKIN-1 IN THE CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS OF RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS" RHEUMATOLOGY, OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS, LONDON, GB, vol. 42, no. SUPPL 2, May 2003 (2003-05), pages II03-II10, XP008041555 ISSN: 1462-0324
- D7: US 2001/016195 A1 (TOBINICK EDWARD L) 23 August 2001 (2001-08-23)
- D8: US-A-5 368 841 (TRAUNER ET AL) 29 November 1994 (1994-11-29)
- D9: WO 01/85179 A (CLEMSON UNIVERSITY) 15 November 2001 (2001-11-15)
- D10: EP-A-0 438 234 (KITA, KIYOSHI) 24 July 1991 (1991-07-24)
- D11: US-A-4 427 649 (DINGLE ET AL) 24 January 1984 (1984-01-24)
- 2 CLAIMS 1-11,30,34-75,80-82
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D1 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the intracapsular administration of an inhibitor of IL-1, preferably IL-1ra, either alone or in combination with another drug for treating inflammatory joint diseases.
- 2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D2 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the intracapsular administration of an inhibitor of IL-1, preferably IL-1ra, either alone or in combination with another drug for treating inflammatory joint diseases.
- 2.3 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D3 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the intracapsular administration of an inhibitor of IL-1, preferably IL-1ra, either alone or in combination with another drug for treating inflammatory joint diseases.

- 2.4 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D4 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the use of Kineret (anakinra; N(sup 2)-L-methionyl- Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist (human isoform x reduced)) in relation to the treatment of inflammatory joint diseases.
- 2.5 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D5 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) the use of IL-trap in relation to the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis.
- 2.6 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D6 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) that Kineret (IL-1ra) offers a new therapeutic modality for rheumatoid arthritis, IL-1 can also be antagonized by the decoy receptor IL-1RII.
- 2.7 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 - Document D7 discloses (see the passages cited in the search report) that antagonists of IL-1, IL-6, IL-8 are used to treat osteoarthritis and other forms of arthritis including rheumatoid arthritis, juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis. Said treatment comprises localized administration, including perilesional or intralesional administration of compounds including interleukin 1 receptor antagonist (IL-1 RA) (Amgen) and interleukin 1 receptor type II (IL-1R type II) (Immunex).

International application No.

PCT/US2004/024725

- 2.8 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

 Document D8-D11 disclose (see the passages cited in the search report) local i.e. intracapsular injection of drugs for treating inflammatory joint conditions.
- 3 CLAIMS 1-11,30,34-75,80-82

Claims 1-11,30,34-75,80-82 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT). D1-D3, D7-D11 disclose methods of treating an inflamed orthopedic joint comprising the intracapsular adminstration of drugs, i.e. inhibitors of proinflammatory interleukins. Therefore said claims, as far as novel, can not be considered to involve an inventive step.